

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION**

**TRONSEN  
v.**

**3:08 CV 148**

**Toledo-Lucas County Public Library**

**AFFIDAVIT – DECLARATION of  
Plaintiff**

**Plaintiff asks leave of court to file  
his affidavit-declaration of  
pertinent and relative FACTS of  
this matter.**

**Plaintiff to the above titled matter, mark anders tronsen, says under  
penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States of American and of the  
laws of the state of Ohio that:**

**Plaintiff has personal information that the following events were  
publicized and/or conducted on the premises of the defendant, with their  
full knowledge and consent:**

**At The Holland Branch library:**

- **The treasurer of the Springfield schools held a public discussion of  
school finances where the public gave input.**
- **Signatures were gathered regarding an initiative (or referendum) to  
the General Assembly.**

**At other Locations:**

- **The City of Toledo held a public hearing regarding the placement of  
a Costco store.**
- **The University of Toledo held an open house**
- **Caroline Kennedy, daughter of the late president John F. Kennedy,  
endorsed a candidate for (Democratic) nomination for presidency of  
the United States.**
- **There was a film Festival (April 3,- May8, 2008, Main Library)**
- **There was a display of various religious garments (Main Library,  
Fall, 2007)**
- **Mayor Finkbeiner held a discussion for business people regarding  
the economics of the area and city government (Main Library)**

**Plaintiff further says that:**

- 1) He was Never seated next to or using a computer terminal adjacent "next to" to the library complainant in this matter.**
- 2) That any conversation between the library complainant & Plaintiff was very, very brief, lasting only a matter of 15 seconds or less.**
- 3) That the library complainant Never verbally complained to Plaintiff, Never told complainant of any fears, Never told Plaintiff to go away. Never told Plaintiff to 'Stop' (talking or any communication).**
- 4) The library complainant had a number of visible tattoos which drew the attention of Plaintiff, and Plaintiff said to her with Complimentary intent (something like): 'nice tats' referring only to the visible tattoos that she had.**

**Respectfully submitted,**

*Anders Tronsen*  
6/5/08